Understanding misconceptions – teacher guidance

This strand is about recognising that pupils come to lessons with ideas about the world around them that can often be different from the accepted scientific ideas. Pupils need to develop some understanding of what these misconceptions are. They should begin to explore the idea that some scientific explanations can be counter-intuitive and how misconceptions or alternative frameworks might arise.

It is important that potential misconceptions are identified in the scheme of work and some suggested activities are provided for teachers to begin to address these. (The word 'misconceptions' as used throughout this document may be taken to mean 'alternative frameworks' or 'alternative conceptions'.) A misconception can be defined as a view that does not fully coincide with the scientific view.

Some features of misconceptions are that they:

- may be linked to everyday use of language;
- are constructed from everyday experience and are usually adequate for everyday life;
- can be personal or shared with others;
- explain how the world works in simple terms;
- are often similar to earlier scientific models (for example, 'the Earth is flat.');
- may be inconsistent with science taught in schools;
- can be resistant to change;
- may inhibit further conceptual development.

It is worth noting that in many cases pupils can hold both the 'misconception' and the scientific idea at the same time and may use different ways of explaining events in different situations. Some misconceptions may persist despite teachers' best efforts. Even when presented with new evidence pupils may modify it to fit into their existing model; for example, some pupils, having been clearly shown that current is not used up in a circuit, responded a few weeks later by saying that the ammeters were not working properly.

It is important that pupils' ideas are challenged in a non-threatening way and they feel reassured and safe when exposing and exploring their ideas. Pupils need to be able to test out their ideas to experience the 'conflict'. It is not enough just to tell pupils their ideas are wrong and this is what they should think.

00054-2008DVD-EN

Moving from step 1 to step 2

Step 1 – Pupil characteristics

Pupils:

- can use everyday experience to explain scientific ideas.
- pupils' written and oral work shows common misconceptions.

Step 2 – Pupil characteristics

Pupils

- are aware that some scientific explanations are counter-intuitive and that this can be because of differences between everyday and scientific explanations.

Strategies to ensure progression from step 1 to step 2

A) Use Concept Cartoons™, concept mapping or annotated drawings with pupils to raise awareness that alternative ideas exist.

- Use a Concept Cartoon™ and give pupils time to discuss any points with which they agree and to justify their decisions, explaining how they know. Share these views with the whole class to explore whether different pupils hold different views. If all pupils think the same then ask them to try to give at least one idea or reason why other characters might think differently.

  The aim of this exercise is to reinforce the idea that there are often seemingly legitimate reasons why people think certain things and hold particular ideas. A Concept Cartoon™ can be used to create some cognitive conflict that will be developed further on.

  The *Ideas, Evidence and Argument in Science* (IDEAS) project [1] has materials to support the development of argument in the classroom.

- Use concept maps – these may be constructed by pupils or devised by the teacher where some of the links are based on current scientific knowledge and understanding and some are not.

[1] The Ideas, Evidence and Argument in Science (IDEAS) project is written by Jonathan Osborne, Sibel Erduran and Shirley Simon and published by King's College, London (2004).

00054-2008DVD-EN

The aim of this exercise is for pupils to work in small groups to identify links with which they agree and those that they think are wrong. They share their ideas with another group and look for similarities and differences in their ideas. Pupils can use this to produce a list of questions or ideas about which they are less secure. It is important to have a climate for learning that enables pupils to feel safe enough to admit that they do not know.

- Use annotated drawings – these may be ones drawn by pupils in other classes, taken from various science sources or drawn by the pupils. Annotated drawings might be produced in response to:
  - a question, such as: 'What does a plant need to grow?' or: 'How do clothes dry?';
  - a simple practical activity such as placing an ice cube in a metal container and observing the changes, or watching a Cartesian diver.

  Working in small groups, pupils identify any annotations with which they do not agree and make changes in a different colour. These are passed to another group who make further changes in another different colour – these changes might be to the original or amended annotations. The drawings are then returned to the original group for further discussion before the three groups join together to explore any differences in their ideas.

B) Identify some misconceptions held by the pupils and explore with them which of these statements seem counter-intuitive and why.

- Use the true/false/unsure sheet from the *Misconceptions in science* handout to identify quickly some misconceptions across a range of concepts.
  Or use the card sort activity from the *Misconceptions in science* handout and ask pupils to sort the cards into those with which they agree, those with which they do not agree and those about which they are not sure. (NB they are all false!)
- Use the *Identifying misconceptions questionnaire* with small groups of pupils to explore their understanding.
- Ask pupils which areas of science they find the easiest to understand and see if they can say why.
- Explain the idea of the counter-intuitiveness of science to the pupils. Allocate some misconceptions to groups of pupils and ask them to find out what the scientific explanation is. Ask them to decide if this explanation seems counter-intuitive and what makes the misconception more believable.

00054-2008DVD-EN

Additional guidance

In some areas of school science, there are big overlaps between everyday and scientific ways of knowing (for example, skeletons) which are the ones pupils generally find the easiest to understand because of the similarity of the explanation. However, in other areas, science offers an alternative to the everyday view – one that pupils may find implausible. For example, most people will describe drinking through a straw as 'sucking'; the scientific explanation is that there is a difference in air pressure inside and outside the straw. You remove some particles of air from the straw, thus reducing the air pressure inside the straw, and the greater air pressure outside pushes the orange juice up into your mouth. The scientific explanation can seem counter-intuitive.

Moving from step 2 to step 3

Step 2 – Pupil characteristics

- Pupils are aware that some scientific explanations are counter-intuitive and that this can be because of differences between everyday and scientific explanations.

Step 3 – Pupil characteristics

Pupils can:

- explain how some common misconceptions might arise;
- recognise that it is possible to have and use conflicting models.

Strategies to ensure progression from step 2 to step 3

A) Use Concept Cartoons™ with pupils to promote the discussion of alternative viewpoints, for example, explaining why they do not agree with certain characters' viewpoints.

- Use a Concept Cartoon™ but this time ask pupils to explain why they do not agree (wholly or partly) with the other characters' ideas or statements.
- Set up a debate with different pupils taking different roles to justify why their view is correct. English and humanities departments often have expertise that can be drawn on to organise this well.
- Use a variety of group work activities to enable pupils to explore different viewpoints. See the handout *Successful science discussions*.

00054-2008DVD-EN

B) Discuss with pupils how some of the different representations of scientific phenomena can lead to misconceptions, for example, diagrams or models of particles, seasons, gas exchange.

- Ask pupils to look through textbooks to find pictures of:
  - diagrams of particles, for example, in solids, liquids and gases; osmosis; diffusion;
  - gas exchange in leaves and air sacs;
  - day and night; seasons; phases of the Moon;
  - respiration (usually only animals and not plants);
  - elements and compounds;
  - light ray diagrams;
  - the reproductive system.

  Select one of these and explain to pupils how it might cause misconceptions. For example, the diagram showing the seasons generally has a noticeably oval orbit with the Earth much closer to the Sun in autumn and spring.

  Ask them to look at the other diagrams to see if they can identify how any of them could lead to misconceptions.

Additional guidance

Models and analogies are useful to help pupils visualise abstract ideas and objects or processes that are too small to be seen. Different pictures can be used to explain different ideas or aspects of ideas. However, no one model can explain everything and sometimes models break down. Models can be 'good enough' for the particular purpose, for example, a 'billiard ball' model is good enough for solids, liquids and gases but not for chemical reactions. Yet in many books this is what is shown. Pupils need to be made aware of the 'good enough' model and its shortcomings.

C) Create the opportunity for pupils to discuss which of the common misconceptions could arise because of everyday ways of speaking, for example, 'plants get food from the soil'.

- Give pupils some examples of common everyday expressions that could cause misconceptions.
  For example:
  - 'I'm looking right through you.'
  - 'Turn the switch off and save power.'

00054-2008DVD-EN

- ‘Shut the door and keep the cold out.’
- ‘Come a bit closer – I can’t hear you.’
- ‘The ball stopped because it ran out of force.’
- ‘I’ve used up all my energy.’
- ‘Astronauts float because there is no gravity in space.’
- ‘Just going to buy some plant food.’

Ask pupils to discuss how these could lead to misconceptions and if they can they think of any others.

- Give pupils the *Everyday meanings sheet* to discuss and complete. How do these words lead to misconceptions?
- Ask pupils to search the internet for any old wives’ tales that might lead to misconceptions, for example, the idea of taking flowers out of a hospital ward or bedroom at night. Ask whether they have been told any of these by parents, carers or grandparents.

Moving from step 3 to step 4

Step 3 – Pupil characteristics

Pupils:

- can explain how some common misconceptions might arise
- can recognise that it is possible to have and use conflicting models.

Step 4 – Pupil characteristics

Pupils:

- can explain how insight into the ways misconceptions can arise has helped their understanding of science.

Strategies to ensure progression from step 3 to step 4

A) Use Concept Cartoons™ with pupils as a stimulus to enable them to think about why the characters might have those ideas and where they might have come from.

- Pupils are given a Concept Cartoon™. The aim of this exercise is to develop an awareness of how the misconception might have arisen and to extend this to include an explanation of what is fundamentally wrong with the idea. Pupils should have already considered how

00054-2008DVD-EN

misconceptions can arise from everyday terminology or expressions and when the scientific explanation feels counter-intuitive. Other reasons may be as a result of:

- the scale of the topic – either giant scale, for example, the Universe, or tiny scale, atoms, means that it cannot be observed directly;
- the statement being based on opinion rather than scientifically accepted fact, or opinions based on atypical observations such as: 'All plants reproduce like the Mexican hat plant, where little plants form round the edge of the leaves, drop off and grow in to another plant.';
- it being an abstract concept;
- relying on another concept being fully embedded.

This list may be used as a checklist for pupils or made into a table.

B) Create the opportunity for pupils to compare some of the common misconceptions to the scientifically accepted explanations and consider what evidence they would need to disprove the misconceptions.

- Pupils could devise their own Concept Cartoons™ to illustrate possible areas of confusion in a topic. Then give this to another group to decide what activities they would ask younger pupils to undertake to begin to challenge some of the misconceptions.
- Pupils identify and discuss examples where they think it would be difficult to challenge the misconception, and explain why.
- Give pupils some examples of how scientists came to a view that was contrary to the beliefs of the time; for example, Galileo, Darwin, Wegener and the role of the scientific community in validating new models.

00054-2008DVD-EN